Mailed 2/17/2004

Decision 04-02-021 February 11, 2004

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Saigon V.I.P. Transportation, LLC for passenger stage authority under § 1031, et seq., of the California Public Utilities Code, to transport passengers and baggage express, on an on-call county-to-county pricing basis between the counties of San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Santa Clara, San Francisco, and Alameda, on the one hand, and to establish door-to-door shuttle service between points in Los Angeles and Orange counties and LAX, ONT, SNA, Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbors and certain shopping malls, described in the body of the application, on the other hand; and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom under § 454.

Application 03-10-008 (Filed October 6, 2003)

OPINION

Summary

This decision grants the application of Saigon V.I.P. Transportation, LLC (Applicant), a limited liability company, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1031 et seq., for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as a passenger stage corporation (PSC), as defined in Pub. Util. Code § 226, and to establish a zone of rate freedom (ZORF) pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 454.2.

Discussion

The application, as amended by letter of November 21, 2003, requests authority to operate as an on-call PSC to transport passengers and their baggage (1) between points in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, on the one hand, and

166833 - 1 -

Los Angeles International (LAX), Ontario International (ONT), John Wayne (SNA), and Long Beach (LGB) Airports, and Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors (HBRS), on the other hand; (2) between the hotels and motels in Anaheim and four shopping malls in Anaheim and nearby cities; and (3) between points in the Counties of San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Santa Clara, and San Francisco.¹

Applicant intends to operate the local, door-to-door service with twelve 7-passenger vans and the long distance service with three 15-passenger vans. Its customer base will consist predominately of members of the Asian community. Applicant states it will provide an affordable and efficient transportation service to this community and the general public. Applicant's drivers are bilingual or trilingual.

Exhibit D of the application is Applicant's unaudited balance sheet as of August 25, 2003, that discloses assets of \$438,000, liabilities of \$218,000 and net worth of \$220,000. The proposed fares are listed in Exhibit B of the application. For door-to-door service they range between \$5 (Westchester - LAX) and \$125 (Lake Elizabeth – SNA), and for county-to-county service between \$15 (San Diego County – Orange County) and \$55 (San Diego County – San Francisco/Alameda Counties). Applicant requests authority to establish a ZORF of \$12 above and below the proposed fares of \$20 and under, \$20 above and below the proposed fares between \$21 and \$40, and \$40 above and below the proposed fares of \$41 and above. The minimum fare will be \$5. Applicant will compete with other PSCs, taxicabs, limousines, buses, and automobiles in its

¹ Applicant also requests authority to transport express (packages and unaccompanied baggage) in connection with the proposed "county-to-county" service described in (3).

service area. This highly competitive environment should result in Applicant pricing its services at a reasonable level. Many other PSCs have been granted ZORFs. The requested ZORF is generally consistent with the ZORFs held by other PSCs.

Notice of filing of the application appeared in the Commission's Daily Calendar on October 8, 2003. Applicant requests a waiver from the provisions of Rule 21 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure which require service of a notice of the application on all city and county officials within whose boundaries the passengers will be loaded or unloaded. Applicant served a notice to the six involved counties, 18 cities, the affected airports, and public transit operators in the service area. Applicant states that all parties that have an interest in Commission proceedings subscribe to or have access to the Commission's Daily Calendar. We shall exercise the discretion accorded to us by Rule 87 and grant the waiver requested by Applicant because it will be providing on-call service, not scheduled service, and service on all cities in the service territory would be burdensome.

In Resolution ALJ 176-3121 dated October 16, 2003, the Commission preliminarily categorized this application as ratesetting, and preliminarily determined that hearings were not necessary. No protest has been received. Given this status, public hearing is not necessary, and it is not necessary to alter the preliminary determinations made in Resolution ALJ 176-3121.

This is an uncontested matter in which the decision grants the relief requested. Accordingly, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 311(g)(2), the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is being waived.

Assignment of Proceeding

Richard Clark is the assigned Examiner in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

- 1. The application, as amended, requests authority to operate as an on-call PSC to transport passengers and their baggage (1) between points in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, on the one hand, and LAX, ONT, SNA, LGB, and HBRS, on the other hand; (2) between hotels and motels in Anaheim and shopping malls in Anaheim and nearby cities, and (3) between points in the Counties of San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Santa Clara, and San Francisco (including shipments of express).
 - 2. Public convenience and necessity requires the proposed service.
- 3. Applicant requests authority to establish a ZORF of \$12 above and below the proposed fares of \$20 and under, \$20 above and below the proposed fares between \$21 and \$40, and \$40 above and below the proposed fares of \$41 and above, as shown in Exhibit B. The minimum fare will be \$5.
- 4. Applicant will compete with PSCs, taxicabs, limousines, buses, and automobiles in its operations. The ZORF is fair and reasonable.
- 5. Applicant requests a waiver of the notice requirements of Rule 21 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure as it has served notice to six counties, 18 cities, the affected airports, and public transit operators in the service area.
 - 6. No protest to the application has been filed.
 - 7. A public hearing is not necessary.
- 8. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. Public convenience and necessity has been demonstrated and the application, as amended by letter of November 21, 2003, should be granted.
 - 2. The request to waive the notice requirements of Rule 21 should be granted.
 - 3. The request for a ZORF should be granted.

- 4. Before Applicant changes any fares under the ZORF authorized below, Applicant should give this Commission at least ten days' notice. The tariff should show the high and low ends of the ZORF and the then currently effective fare between each pair of service points.
- 5. Since the matter is uncontested, the decision should be effective on the date it is signed.
- 6. Only the amount paid to the State for operative rights may be used in rate fixing. The State may grant any number of rights and may cancel or modify the monopoly feature of these rights at any time.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A certificate of public convenience and necessity (CPCN) is granted to Saigon V.I.P. Transportation, LLC (Applicant), a limited liability company, authorizing it to operate as a passenger stage corporation (PSC), as defined in Pub. Util. Code § 226, to transport persons, their baggage, and express between the points and over the routes set forth in Appendix PSC-16782, subject to the conditions contained in the following paragraphs.

2. Applicant shall:

- a. File a written acceptance of this certificate within 30 days after this order is effective.
- b. Establish the authorized service and file tariffs and timetables within 120 days after this order is effective.
- c. File tariffs on or after the effective date of this order. They shall become effective ten days or more after the effective date of this order, provided that the Commission and the public are given not less than ten days' notice.
- d. Comply with General Orders Series 101 and 158, and the California Highway Patrol (CHP) safety rules.

- e. Comply with the controlled substance and alcohol testing certification program pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1032.1 and General Order Series 158.
- f. Remit to the Commission the Transportation Reimbursement Fee required by Pub. Util. Code § 423 when notified by mail to do so.
- g. Comply with Pub. Util. Code §§ 460.7 and 1043, relating to the Workers' Compensation laws of this state.
- h. Enroll all drivers in the pull notice system as required by Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code.
- 3. Applicant is authorized under Pub. Util. Code § 454.2 to establish a zone of rate freedom (ZORF) of \$12 above and below the proposed fares of \$20 and under, \$20 above and below the proposed fares between \$21 and \$40, and \$40 above and below the proposed fares of \$41 and above, as shown in Exhibit B of the application. The minimum fare will be \$5.
- 4. Applicant shall file a ZORF tariff in accordance with the application on not less than ten days' notice to the Commission and to the public. The ZORF shall expire unless exercised within 120 days after the effective date of this order.
- 5. Applicant may make changes within the ZORF by filing amended tariffs on not less than ten days' notice to the Commission and to the public. The tariff shall include the authorized maximum and minimum fares and the fare to be charged between each pair of service points.
- 6. In addition to posting and filing tariffs, Applicant shall post notices explaining fare changes in its terminals and passenger-carrying vehicles. Such notices shall be posted at least ten days before the effective date of the fare changes and shall remain posted for at least 30 days.
- 7. Applicant is authorized to begin operations on the date that the Consumer Protection and Safety Division mails a notice to Applicant that its evidence of insurance and other documents required by Ordering Paragraph 2 have been

filed with the Commission and that the CHP has approved the use of Applicant's vehicles for service.

- 8. Before beginning service to any airport, Applicant shall notify the airport's governing body. Applicant shall not operate into or on airport property unless such operations are authorized by the airport's governing body.
- 9. The CPCN to operate as PSC-16782, granted herein, expires unless exercised within 120 days after the effective date of this order.
- 10. The notice requirements of Rule 21 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure are waived as copies and notice of the application have been served upon parties that may have an interest in this proceeding
- 11. The Application, as amended by letter of November 21, 2003, is granted as set forth above.
 - 12. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated February 11, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

President
CARL W. WOOD
LORETTA M. LYNCH
GEOFFREY F. BROWN
SUSAN P. KENNEDY
Commissioners

Appendix PSC-16782 Saigon V.I.P. Transportation, LLC Original Title Page (a limited liability company)

CERTIFICATE

OF

PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

AS A PASSENGER STAGE CORPORATION

PSC-16782 Showing passenger stage operative rights, restrictions, limitations, exceptions, and privileges

All changes and amendments as authorized by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California will be made as revised pages or added original pages.

Issued under authority of Decision 04-02-021, dated February 11, 2004, of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California in Application 03-10-008.

Appendix PSC-16782 Saigon V.I.P. Transportation, LLC (a limited liability company)

Original Page 1

INDEX

		Page
SECTION I.	GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS	2, 3
SECTION II.	SERVICE AREAS	3
SECTION III.	ROUTE DESCRIPTION	3

Appendix PSC-16782 Saigon V.I.P. Transportation, LLC (a limited liability company)

Original Page 2

SECTION I. GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS.

Saigon V.I.P. Transportation, LLC, a limited liability company, by the certificate of public convenience and necessity granted by the decision noted in the foot of the margin, is authorized to transport passengers and their baggage on an "on-call" basis, between points and places as described in Section II, and over and along the route described in Section III, subject however, to the authority of this Commission to change or modify this authority at any time and subject to the following provisions:

- A. When a route description is given in one direction, it applies to operation in either direction unless otherwise indicated.
- B. The term "on-call," as used, refers to service which is authorized to be rendered dependent on the demands of passengers. The tariffs shall show the conditions under which each authorized on-call service will be provided, and shall include the description of the boundary of each fare zone, except when a single fare is charged to all points within a single incorporated city.
- C. No passengers shall be transported except those having a point of origin or destination as described in Section IIB.
- D. This certificate does not authorize the holder to conduct any operation on the property of any airport unless such operation is authorized by the airport authority involved.
- E. On Route 1, described in Section III, passengers shall be provided only inter-county transportation.

Issued by California Public Utilities Commission. Decision 04-02-021, Application 03-10-008.

Appendix PSC-16782 Saigon V.I.P. Transportation, LLC Original Page 3 (a limited liability company)

SECTION I. GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS (concluded).

F. Express service shall be restricted to baggage or packages not exceeding one hundred pounds. This service is applicable only on Route 1, described in Section III.

SECTION II. SERVICE AREA.

- A. Points and places in the Counties of San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles, Santa Clara, San Francisco and Alameda.
- B. Los Angeles International Airport, Ontario International Airport, John Wayne Airport, Long Beach Airport, Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbors.
- C. Shopping Malls described as The Block of Orange (City of Orange), Anaheim Plaza, Buena Park Mall, and South Coast Plaza (Costa Mesa).
- D. Hotels and motels in the City of Anaheim.

SECTION III. ROUTE DESCRIPTION.

Route 1 - Counties

Commencing from any county described in Section IIA, then over the most convenient streets, expressways, and highways to any county described in Section IIA.

Route 2 – Los Angeles and Orange Counties/Airports-Harbors

Commencing from any point or place in Orange or Los Angeles Counties, then over the most convenient streets, expressways, and highways to points described in Section IIB

Route 3 - Shopping Malls

Commencing from any hotel or motel described in Section IID or any mall described in Section IIC, then over the most convenient streets, expressways, and highways to any mall described in Section IIC.

Issued by California Public Utilities Commission. Decision 04-02-021, Application 03-10-008.